12th International Conference on Fluidized Bed Technology May 23-26, 2017, Krakow

Modelling of indirect steam gasification in circulating fluidized bed reactors

Kari Myöhänen¹, Juha Palonen², Timo Hyppänen¹

¹ LUT School of Energy Systems
Lappeenranta University of Technology
² AMEC Foster Wheeler Energia Oy, Finland



Contents

- Indirect steam gasification
- Model description
- Modelling results
- Summary



Design of a 140 MWth air-blown gasifier by AMEC Foster Wheeler

Biomass gasification

- Renewable, weather independent energy source
 - Gasification
 - \rightarrow Syngas (CO, H₂, CO₂, C_xH_y, H₂O)
 - \rightarrow SNG, FT-diesel, dimethyl ether, methanol, ...
- Modelling needed to support development of new process concepts and scale-up.
- Target of study: development of modelling tool for comprehensive simulation of interconnected CFB processes for indirect gasification.



12 MWth lime kiln gasifier, Stora Enso mill, Varkaus, Finland.

Indirect steam gasification



Three-dimensional model (CFB3D)

- In-house Fortran-code developed at LUT.
- Steady-state, semi-empirical engineering model.
- Applied for air/oxygen fired combustion, gasification, and calcium looping in bubbling and circulating fluidized bed processes.



Lappeenranta University of Technology

320 MWth gasifier

(6 bar, abs)

Modelled reaction system



Geometry and mesh

- Gasifier

- Fuel input ≈ 12 MWth.
- Diameter 1.6 m, height 15 m.
- Fluidized by steam.
- Woody biomass & secondary steam to level height 1.9 m.
- Combustor
 - Max. fuel input \approx 2.1 MWth.
 - Diameter 1.4 m, height 15 m.
 - Fluidized by air.
 - Make-up sand, limestone, and secondary air to level 1.1 m.
 - Additional fuel feed to level 0.5 m.
- Reactors coupled in code.



Boundary conditions

- Fuel = wood based biomass
 - 11% char, 62% volatiles, 25% moisture, LHV 14 MJ/kg,af.
- Four cases with varying fuel feed to combustor (0...2.1 MWth).
- Same excess oxygen in combustor in each case (3.94 %-vol,dry).

Parameter	Units	Case A01	Case A02	Case A03	Case A04
Steam flow to gasifier	(kg/s)	0.45		•••	•••
Primary steam ratio	(%)	40	•••	•••	•••
Steam temperature	(°C)	180	•••	•••	•••
Air flow to combustor	(kg/s)	1.84	2.06	1.62	1.38
Primary air ratio	(%)	50	•••	•••	•••
Air temperature	(°C)	280	•••		•••
Fuel feed to gasifier	(kg/s)	0.9	•••	•••	•••
Fuel feed to combustor	(kg/s)	0.10	0.15	0.05	0.00
Sand feed	(kg/s	0.05	•••	•••	•••
Limestone feed	(kg/s)	0.01	•••	•••	•••
Solid feed temperatures	(°C)	30		•••	

3D-model results at centre-plane, Case A01



Water-gas & Boudouard reaction and shift conversion (Case A01)



Syngas composition as function of temperature



Increasing water-gas reaction $(C + H_2O \rightarrow CO + H_2) \rightarrow$ Higher CO & H₂

Decreasing shift conversion (CO + $H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2$) \rightarrow Higher CO, lower CO₂ & H_2

Net effects as function of temperature:

- $H_2 \approx \text{constant}$
- CO increasing
- CO₂ decreasing

Heat value of syngas and cold gas efficiency



Higher temperature \rightarrow Higher gas yield from char

 \rightarrow Increasing heat value of gas

(Opposite effect when compared with air- or oxy-fired gasification)

The increase in heat value of gas is smaller than the increase of fuel input \rightarrow Decreasing cold gas efficiency

Summary

- Indirect steam gasification system with interconnected CFB reactors was successfully simulated by a semi-empirical model approach.
- Process can be operated without additional fuel feed to combustor.
- Effects of increasing the fuel feed to combustor:
 - Higher gasification temperature.
 - Slightly higher heat value of syngas.
 - Lower cold gas efficiency.
- Future targets:
 - Validation of model parameters based on measurement data.
 - Modelling of sorbent enhanced gasification (FLEDGED-project).

Thank you for your attention!

kari.myohanen@lut.fi www.fledged.eu



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 727600

